116
ab
Ct. 40

26.07.2022.

C.P.A.N. 1396 of 2001

Sk. Gisiouddin Vs. Mr. Pritish Kr. Phathak

In

W.P.A. 9255 of 2001

Anupama Tikmani

Vs.

C.E.S.C. Limited.

Mr. Somnath Bose

… For the CESC Ltd.

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner on repeated calls.

No accommodation is sought for.

Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed for default.

There shall be no order as to costs.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Sugato Majumdar, J.)